



Canadian Council  
of Ministers  
of the Environment

Le Conseil canadien  
des ministres  
de l'environnement

**GUIDANCE DOCUMENT  
ON ACHIEVEMENT DETERMINATION  
FOR CANADIAN AMBIENT AIR QUALITY  
STANDARDS FOR SULPHUR DIOXIDE**

**PN 1610  
ISBN 978-1-77202-063-2 PDF**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

BACKGROUND ON AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM .....	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	2
2.0 CANADIAN AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR SULPHUR DIOXIDE.....	2
2.1 Basis for the Statistical Form of the Standards.....	5
3.0 SULPHUR DIOXIDE MANAGEMENT LEVELS.....	6
4.0 MONITORS AND REPORTING STATIONS .....	7
4.1 Requirements for Monitors.....	7
4.2 CAAQS Reporting Stations.....	8
5.0 CALCULATION OF SULPHUR DIOXIDE METRIC VALUES.....	10
5.1 Calculation of 1-hour Metric Values.....	10
5.2 Calculation of Annual Metric Values.....	11
5.3 Data Completeness Criteria and Exceptions.....	12
5.4 Decimal Places and Number Rounding Rules .....	14
6.0 TRANSBOUNDARY FLOWS AND EXCEPTIONAL EVENTS .....	16
7.0 COMMUNICATION AND REPORTING .....	16
8.0 SUMMARY OF GUIDANCE .....	17
9.0 REFERENCES.....	18
APPENDIX A – EXAMPLE CALCULATION OF THE 1-HOUR CANADIAN AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS METRIC VALUE.....	19
APPENDIX B – THE PERCENTILE RANKING APPROACH.....	22

## TABLES

Table 2-1: CAAQS for sulphur dioxide .....	3
Table 3-1: Management levels for sulphur dioxide .....	7
Table 5-1: Example of four highest SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in decreasing order.....	11
Table 5-2: The 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile as a function of available data.....	11
Table 5-3: Data completeness and exceptions criteria.....	13
Table 5-4: Decimal place and rounding rules.....	15

## FIGURES

Figure 4-1: The NAPS SO <sub>2</sub> monitoring stations for 2018.....	9
Figure 8-1: Outline for determining the achievement status of a SO <sub>2</sub> CAAQS .....	17

## TEXT BOXES

Text Box 1: Example for calculating the SO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour standard metric value.....	5
Text Box 2: Example for evaluating the potential of an exceedance .....	14
Text Box 3: Example for rounding the 1-hour standard metric value to a whole number.. .....	16

## BACKGROUND ON AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Air quality is important for all Canadians and it affects many aspects of our lives and our society including human health, the natural environment, buildings and infrastructure, crop production, and the economy. In Canada, air quality management is a responsibility shared between federal, provincial and territorial governments. Under the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME), federal, provincial and territorial governments are working collaboratively to improve air quality by implementing the Air Quality Management System (AQMS)<sup>1</sup>. Key elements of AQMS include:

1. Air zones – geographical areas that are used to manage local air quality within the provinces and territories in which they are located.
2. Airsheds – broad geographic areas that encompass a number of air zones and may cross provincial, territorial and international boundaries. They provide a framework for inter-jurisdictional collaboration to address transboundary air quality issues.
3. Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) – health and environmental-based air quality objectives to further protect human health and the environment and to provide the drivers for air quality improvement across the country.
4. Air Zone Management Framework – a framework to manage air quality in air zones
5. Base-level industrial emissions requirements (BLIERS) – emission requirements that are intended to apply to major industrial sectors or equipment types to ensure that significant industrial sources achieve a good base-level of performance.
6. Mobile Sources – work that builds on the existing range of federal, provincial and territorial initiatives aimed at reducing emissions from mobile sources.

In addition to being endorsed by CCME, the CAAQS have also been established as ambient air quality objectives by the federal government under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999*.

This document provides information on the CAAQS and management levels for sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and information on the procedures, methodologies and criteria for determining whether the CAAQS for SO<sub>2</sub> are achieved or exceeded at monitoring stations and within air zones.

---

<sup>1</sup> Although Québec supports the general objectives of the AQMS, the province will not implement the system since the system calls for federal industrial emission requirements that duplicate Québec regulations. However, Québec is collaborating with jurisdictions on developing other elements of the system, notably air zones and airsheds.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Under the Air Quality Management System (AQMS), provinces and territories have been delineated into one or more air zones by the respective jurisdiction. Air zones provide a defined area within which stakeholders, other interested parties and governments work together to improve local air quality and maintain air pollutant concentrations below the Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS).

As part of AQMS, provinces and territories have agreed to regularly publish air zone reports for each of their air zones in a timely fashion. These reports are key to the integrity of AQMS and will include information on the achievement status of the CAAQS and their management levels. Achievement status means whether ambient concentrations of air pollutants are less than or equal to the corresponding standard (*CAAQS is achieved*) or greater than the standard (*CAAQS is exceeded*). To ensure that reporting on CAAQS achievement status is comparable among provinces and territories, guidance is needed on the monitoring, procedures and methodologies to use. This document outlines this guidance for sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). Its main purpose is to:

- present the CAAQS and management levels for SO<sub>2</sub>
- provide guidance on the SO<sub>2</sub> monitors and monitoring stations to use for reporting on the achievement status of the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS
- provide the procedures for calculating the concentrations to use for direct comparison to the SO<sub>2</sub> standards (called *metric values*)
- provide the procedures for determining whether an SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS is achieved or exceeded at monitoring stations and in air zones.

More information on AQMS and guidance on its implementation are available on [ccme.ca](http://ccme.ca).

## 2.0 CANADIAN AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR SULPHUR DIOXIDE

CAAQS are health and environmental-based air quality objectives to further protect human health and the environment, and to provide the drivers for air quality improvement across Canada. All CAAQS consist of three inter-related elements:

1. an averaging time
2. a concentration “standard” (or “numerical value”) associated with the averaging time
3. the statistical form for the standard.

CCME has established CAAQS for SO<sub>2</sub> for 2020 and 2025, listed in Table 2-1. The federal government established these CAAQS as ambient air quality objectives on October 28,

2017 pursuant to sections 54 and 55 of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999*<sup>2</sup>. The 2020 CAAQS came into effect on October 29, 2017 and will remain in effect until December 31, 2024. The 2025 CAAQS will become effective on January 1, 2025. The years 2020 and 2025 represent the years by which the associated standard should be achieved. The intended use of all CAAQS is discussed in the CCME Guidance Document on Air Zone Management (CCME 2019a).

**Table 2-1: CAAQS for sulphur dioxide**

Averaging time <sup>3</sup>	Standard (numerical value)		Statistical form of the standard
	2020	2025	
1-hour	70 ppb*	65 ppb	The 3-year average of the annual 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO <sub>2</sub> daily-maximum 1-hour average concentrations.
1-year (annual)	5.0 ppb	4.0 ppb	The arithmetic average over a single calendar year of all SO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour average concentrations in the year.

\* ppb-parts per billion by volume

As can be seen in Table 2-1, CAAQS for SO<sub>2</sub> have been established for 1-hour and 1-year (or annual) averaging times. This takes into consideration that effects on health and the environment can occur over both short (1-hour) and long term (1-year) exposure times and concentrations.

For ease of discussion, an SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour average concentration is denoted by “SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour” and the daily maximum of the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour as the “SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour”.

The averaging times in Table 2-1 refer to the averaging period over which the corresponding standard applies. The statistical form describes the calculation method for the specific concentration that must be used for comparison to the standard to determine whether the concentrations measured at a monitoring station exceed the standard. The 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard of 70 ppb (for 2020) applies to SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour. The statistical form of the standard means that the concentration to use to determine whether 70 ppb was exceeded at a station is the 3-year average of the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour measured at the station. In a year with complete data (see section 5.3), the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile is the fourth highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour. The annual SO<sub>2</sub> standard applies to the average of all SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour measured at a station over a single calendar year.

<sup>2</sup> Canada Gazette Part 1, Volume 151, no. 43, October 28, 2017. <http://www.gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/p1/2017/2017-10-28/html/index-eng.html>.

<sup>3</sup> This is referred to as “averaging period” in some jurisdictions.

To simplify terminology, the concentrations measured at a monitoring station calculated in the statistical form of a standard are referred to as “CAAQS metric value” or simply “metric value”<sup>4</sup>. An SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS is achieved at a monitoring station if the corresponding metric value is less than or equal to the standard; otherwise the standard is exceeded. An SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS is achieved in an air zone if the highest metric value in the air zone is less than or equal to the standard; otherwise the standard is exceeded. In other words, an SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS is achieved in an air zone if the metric values at *all* SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS reporting stations in the air zone are less than or equal to the standard.

For clarity, for the 1-hour standard a 3-year average is to be computed backward in time. As such, the first formal achievement determination of the 1-hour standard for 2020 will be based on metric values for the 3-year period from 2018 to 2020. For the 2025 standard it will be based on metric values for the period 2023 to 2025. For the annual standard, the first formal achievement determination will be based on the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour measured in 2020 for the 2020 standard and measured in 2025 for the 2025 standard.

Text Box 1 provides a simplified example for the calculation of the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric value in an air zone with two monitoring stations. Since the 3-year period for this example is 2018-20, the 2020 standard of 70 ppb applies. For this example, the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour standard is achieved at Station A (since the metric value of 44 ppb is less than the standard of 70 ppb) and exceeded at Station B. Since the highest metric value in the air zone exceeds the standard, the air zone does not achieve the 1-hour standard.

To ensure that reporting on CAAQS achievement is comparable across provinces and territories, there are specific procedures to use for obtaining the 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles, the metric values and for number rounding. These are addressed in section 5.

---

<sup>4</sup> It should be noted that the annual highest 1-hour average concentration and a single annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the daily-maximum 1-hour average concentrations cannot be used to determine whether the 1-hour standard is achieved or exceeded because neither of these correspond to the statistical form of the standard.

**Text Box 1: Example for calculating the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour standard metric value**

		Annual 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour		
		2018	2019	2020
Station A		39.4 ppb	55.6 ppb	38.0 ppb
Station B		92.7 ppb	85.6 ppb	70.5 ppb

		3-year average of the annual 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile	1-hour SO <sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric value for 2018-2020
Station A		$(39.4+55.6+38.0)\div 3 = 133\div 3 = 44.333$ ppb	44 ppb
Station B		$(92.7+85.6+70.5)\div 3 = 248.8\div 3 = 82.933$ ppb	83 ppb

**2.1 Basis for the Statistical Form of the Standards**

CAAQS are established to further protect the health of Canadians and their environment. They are used to guide the air quality management actions to implement as part of the Air Zone Management Framework (AZMF section 3). If ambient concentrations of air pollutants exceed their corresponding standard, the AZMF calls for the implementation of the most rigorous actions.

Exceedances of a standard, and generally variations in ambient concentrations from one year to the next, are influenced not only by changes in the quantity of emissions of air pollutants but also by variations in the prevailing meteorological conditions (see, for example, Turner 1961)<sup>5</sup>. This implies that exceedances of a standard can at times be influenced by the occurrence of meteorological conditions that are conducive to elevated ambient concentrations of air pollutants even though emissions do not increase substantially. As such, an air zone can shift in and out of achievement of a standard because of variations in meteorological conditions rather than because of sustained changes in emissions. To reduce this risk, the statistical form of a standard is established considering not only the need to capture the associated health and environmental effects, but also the need that it not be overly influenced by variations in meteorological conditions.

For the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour CAAQS, higher health impacts are associated with the annual highest SO 1-hour. However, data analyses indicate that the annual highest varies more from year

<sup>5</sup> For example, the average SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured at a monitor may be higher in a year where the wind transports the plume from a nearby source more frequently towards the monitor and lower in a year where the plume is more frequently transported away from the monitor.



to year than the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile, likely because the annual highest is more sensitive to meteorological conditions<sup>6</sup>. In a year with complete data the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile is the fourth highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour and, as such, it is representative of the higher SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Therefore, given that the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile varies less, and given that it is also representative of the higher SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, a 99<sup>th</sup> percentile form was adopted for the 1-hour CAAQS. In addition, a 99<sup>th</sup> percentile form also aligns with the SO<sub>2</sub> standard of the United States, which is a desirable feature since it allows direct comparison of SO<sub>2</sub> air quality between the two countries. The final form as a 3-year average of the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile was selected as a mean to further reduce the variability between years.

For the annual SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS, all SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour measured in a year (up to 8760 or 8784 in a leap year) are considered. Data analyses indicate that annual averages based on all SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour are typically not overtly influenced by meteorological conditions. As such, a single year average of all SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour measured in the year was deemed appropriate for the annual CAAQS.

### **3.0 SULPHUR DIOXIDE MANAGEMENT LEVELS**

AQMS includes an AZMF, which provides guidance to jurisdictions on the monitoring, reporting and management actions to implement in air zones depending on the prevailing concentrations of air pollutants. The framework includes four air quality management categories, or levels, denoted by the colours green, yellow, orange and red. Each of these management levels is associated with a corresponding range of concentrations of air pollutants that have been established concurrently and under the same process as the corresponding CAAQS. The current SO<sub>2</sub> management levels are presented in Table 3-1.

The concentrations in Table 3-1 have the same statistical form as the corresponding CAAQS. Accordingly, the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric values discussed in section 2 are also used for comparison to the management levels to determine the management level into which the air zone falls. The procedures that provinces and territories use to assign management levels to each of their air zones are discussed in CCME 2019a.

---

<sup>6</sup> Substantial changes in emissions between years may also cause variability in the annual highest. However, meteorological conditions likely have a more variable influence. For example, meteorological conditions may cause the plume from a nearby source to directly impinge a monitor in one year (causing elevated 1-hour concentrations) and never directly impinge the monitor in another year (resulting in lower concentrations).

**Table 3-1: Management levels for sulphur dioxide**

Management level	SO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour		SO <sub>2</sub> annual	
	2020	2025	2020	2025
Red	> 70 ppb	> 65 ppb	> 5.0	> 4.0 ppb
Orange	51 to 70 ppb	51 to 65 ppb	3.1 to 5.0 ppb	3.1 to 4.0 ppb
Yellow	31 to 50 ppb		2.1 to 3.0 ppb	
Green	≤ 30 ppb		≤ 2.0	

## 4.0 MONITORS AND REPORTING STATIONS

This section provides guidance on the SO<sub>2</sub> monitors to use for reporting on the achievement status of the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS. It also provides guidance on the location of monitoring stations for reporting on the achievement status (CAAQS reporting stations).

### 4.1 Requirements for Monitors

The monitors for reporting on the achievement status of the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS should:

1. measure SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on an hourly basis
2. be designated as either *Federal Reference Method* (FRM) or *Federal Equivalent Method* (FEM) by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA 2016)
3. be subject to data validation procedures that meet (or exceed) the Ambient Air Monitoring and Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines: National Air Pollution Surveillance Program (CCME 2019c)

The NAPS Program is a collaborative air quality monitoring network jointly operated and maintained by the provinces and territories and Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC)<sup>7</sup>. Metro Vancouver and Ville de Montréal also contribute to the NAPS Program.

<sup>7</sup> A NAPS Program *Memorandum of Understanding* (MOU) describes the roles and responsibilities of each jurisdiction.

## 4.2 CAAQS Reporting Stations

Provinces and territories are responsible for designating the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS reporting stations. Ideally, all CAAQS reporting stations should be planned to be operational for the long-term.

In Canada, the major sources of SO<sub>2</sub> are either industrial in nature or electricity generation utilities which use fossil fuels like coal. For most of these sources SO<sub>2</sub> is released from tall stacks. SO<sub>2</sub> emissions released from tall stacks can occasionally affect areas located tens of kilometers away from the stacks depending on the meteorological and topographical conditions. Typically, however, ambient SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are highest in the vicinity of the stacks, with the overall highest SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mostly occurring within a distance of five to ten times the effective stack height.


From a population health perspective, this points to the need to have SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS reporting stations in communities with major sources of SO<sub>2</sub>. From an environmental perspective, stations could also be located in air pollution-sensitive ecosystems as priorities and resources allow. *Sensitive ecosystems* encompass national and provincial parks, protected areas, areas of cultural or heritage value and areas that are or may be susceptible to adverse effects from direct exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> or acid deposition.

Provincial and territorial jurisdictions may determine that ambient monitoring for SO<sub>2</sub> is not required in an air zone, but ideally each air zone with a major source of SO<sub>2</sub> should have at least one SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS-reporting station, preferably located near a populated area. While the annual emission quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> is one criterion to consider in defining what constitutes a “major” source, other criteria include, for example, the proximity of the source to populations and sensitive ecosystems. In 2018, SO<sub>2</sub> was measured across Canada on an hourly basis at 149 NAPS stations<sup>8</sup> (Figure 4-1) and a NAPS station was present in many communities having major sources of SO<sub>2</sub>. As a start, the NAPS SO<sub>2</sub> stations should be used as SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS-reporting stations.

---

<sup>8</sup> The SO<sub>2</sub> monitors at NAPS stations are all designated as FRM by the EPA and they all meet the Ambient Air Monitoring and Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines: National Air Pollution Surveillance Program (CCME 2019c).

Figure 4-1: The NAPS SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring stations for 2018



To augment spatial coverage, provinces and territories could also use additional stations that they deem appropriate, as long as the SO<sub>2</sub> monitors satisfy the requirements discussed in section 4.1. These additional stations can include, for example:

1. provincial and territorial non-NAPS stations
2. stations owned by air zone organizations<sup>9</sup>
3. stations owned by third parties.

Provinces and territories are encouraged to use any additional stations wherever a source of SO<sub>2</sub> is near a populated area or a sensitive ecosystem. Stations located at or near the property (fence) line of an industrial facility should not be used for SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS reporting unless the station is near a populated area or a sensitive ecosystem. Under NAPS, a fence-

<sup>9</sup> These are not-for-profit organizations with a multi-stakeholder membership and are established by some provinces and territories to address air quality within the air zone. Some organizations operate their own monitoring stations.

line monitoring station is defined as: a station that is located within or on the property line of a facility or a station that is very near to a facility and in areas not used or accessed by the public or with no nearby population of appreciable size. The determination of what is considered “near” can be evaluated on a case-by-case basis by the reporting jurisdiction. For example, what is considered near for emissions released at ground level may be different than for emissions released from a tall stack.

The Canadian Air and Precipitation Monitoring Network (CAPMoN) of ECCC measures 24-hour average ambient SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations using filter-packs at some stations located mostly in undeveloped areas across Canada. While these SO<sub>2</sub> data cannot be used for reporting on the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS (because of the hourly data requirement), they could be used as supplemental information to describe the SO<sub>2</sub> air quality in air zones.

## 5.0 CALCULATION OF SULPHUR DIOXIDE METRIC VALUES

This section provides guidance on the procedures for calculating the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric values, the data completeness criteria and the number of decimal places that measured concentrations and calculated values have to be reported. Appendix A provides an example for the calculation of the 1-hour metric values. As mentioned in section 2, an SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour average concentration is denoted by “SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour” and the daily maximum of the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour as the “SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour”.

SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric values can be calculated for any SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring station. However, for reporting on SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS achievement status and management level only stations designated by provinces and territories as SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS reporting stations should be used.

### 5.1 Calculation of 1-hour Metric Values

The 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric value at a monitoring station is the average of three annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile values over three consecutive years and is calculated as per equation 5.1.

$$\mathbf{1\text{-hour metric value}_{Y1-Y3} = (99P_{Y1} + 99P_{Y2} + 99P_{Y3}) \div 3 \text{ (equation 5.1)}}$$

In this equation, 99P<sub>Y1</sub>, 99P<sub>Y2</sub> and 99P<sub>Y3</sub> represent the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour for the consecutive calendar years Y1, Y2 and Y3 respectively.

An annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile is to be obtained based on the following three main steps:

**Step 1:** Select the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour for each day.

**Step 2:** Select the four highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in the year and rank them in decreasing order of magnitude, repeating common values as often as they occur, as in the example in the Table 5-1.

**Table 5-1: Example of four highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in decreasing order**

SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour (ppb)	Rank	Date measured
89.9	Highest	15-01-2018
76.4	Second highest	18-12-2018
76.4	Third highest	11-01-2018
63.2	Fourth highest	18-04-2018

**Step 3:** Use Table 5-2 to obtain the value of the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile depending on the number of SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour available in the year.

**Table 5-2: The 99<sup>th</sup> percentile as a function of available data**

Number of SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour available in a year (N <sub>DM</sub> )	99 <sup>th</sup> percentile value
1 to 100	The highest SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour
101 to 200	Second highest
201 to 300	Third highest
301 to 366	Fourth highest

Table 5-2 is from the percentile ranking approach and it is discussed in greater detail in Appendix B. Under this approach, the value of the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour is one of the four highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour measured in the year depending on the number (N<sub>DM</sub>) of available SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour. For example, for N<sub>DM</sub> = 345 the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile value is the fourth highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour; for the example in Table 5-1, this would be 63.2 ppb.

There are different methods for obtaining a 99<sup>th</sup> percentile and each may give different results. Off-the-shelf software and in-house written computer programs can be used only if they always provide the same results as the percentile ranking approach.

## 5.2 Calculation of Annual Metric Values

The annual metric value at a monitoring station is the average of all SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour measured in a single calendar year and is calculated as per equation 5.2.

$$\text{Annual metric value} = (C_1 + C_2 + \dots + C_{N_{1h}}) \div N_{1h} \text{ (equation 5.2)}$$

In this equation,  $C_i$  is the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour for the “i<sup>th</sup>” hour in the year. “N<sub>1h</sub>” is the number of SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour available in the year and it ranges from 1 up to 8760 (8784 in leap years). Note that for the annual metric value the selection of the daily maximum 1-hour is not required.

### **5.3 Data Completeness Criteria and Exceptions**

Generally, only data that meet completeness requirements should be used in the calculation of the SO<sub>2</sub> metric values and only metric values based on complete data should be used for CAAQS reporting. Table 5-3 specifies the data completeness criteria under which concentrations and metric values may be considered to be based on complete data.

There are exceptions to the data completeness criteria; these are indicated in column 3 of Table 5-3. These exceptions ensure that potential exceedances of a standard are captured. If a parameter in column 1 does not meet its completeness criteria in column 2 but meets the exception criteria in column 3, it will then still be used for CAAQS reporting. For example, at a given monitoring station criterion 1 for the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile (75% data completeness) was not satisfied and the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the available SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour is 100 ppb. Since this 99<sup>th</sup> percentile exceeds the standard, it has to be used in the calculation of the metric value at the station even though the completeness criterion was not satisfied.

**Table 5-3: Data completeness and exceptions criteria**

<b>Parameter</b> (Column 1)	<b>Data completeness criteria</b> (Column 2)	<b>Exceptions to the data completeness criteria</b> (This parameter will always be considered in the calculation of metric values if the following conditions are satisfied) (Column 3)
<b>SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour</b>	At least 18 of the 24 (75%) SO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour are available in the day <sup>10</sup> .	The SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour exceeds the standard.
<b>Annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour</b>	The SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour are available for at least: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 75% of the days in a year; <u>and</u></li> <li>2. 60% of the days in each calendar quarter*.</li> </ol>	The 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile based on the available SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour exceeds the standard.
<b>1-hour metric value</b>	Two of the possible three annual 99 <sup>th</sup> percentiles are available <sup>11</sup> .	No exceptions
<b>Annual metric value</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. At least 75% of the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour are available in the year; <u>and</u></li> <li>2. at least 60% of the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour are available in each calendar quarter*.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. At least 50% of the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour are available in each calendar quarter; <u>and</u></li> <li>2. the annual average exceeds the standard.</li> </ol>

\*The calendar quarters (Q) are: **Q1** - January 1 to March 31; **Q2** - April 1 to June 30; **Q3** - July 1 to September 30; **Q4** - October 1 to December 31.

As indicated in Table 5-3, the 1-hour metric value is considered to be based on complete data if two of the three annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour are available. However, for cases when a 1-hour metric value is based on only two annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles, provinces and territories can nevertheless identify them as such in the air zone report. Cases where one or more of the exceptions criteria listed above were applied can also be indicated in the report. Section 7 provides suggestions on how to communicate CAAQS exceedances based on incomplete data.

When a standard is exceeded under exceptions to the data completeness criteria, provinces and territories could evaluate if an exceedance would also have occurred had the data been complete. The evaluation may be important since the exceedance of a standard means that

<sup>10</sup> The data completeness and other information for the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour are provided in the Ambient Air Monitoring and Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines: National Air Pollution Surveillance Program (CCME 2019c).

<sup>11</sup> If only two annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles are available, the divisor in equation 5.1 will be 2 instead of the indicated 3.



the air zone could be managed at the Red management level. The result of the evaluation could be used to inform the decision whether to manage at the Red management level under exceedances associated with incomplete data. Text Box 2 below provides an example of an evaluation.

### **Text Box 2: Example for evaluating the potential of an exceedance**

An air zone has a single SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS-reporting station and the achievement status for the 1-hour standard is evaluated for the 3-year period 2018 to 2020. The completeness criteria for the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour are satisfied for 2018 and 2019 but not for 2020. For 2020 the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile based on the available SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour is 90.1 ppb and since it is greater than the 2020 standard it is retained for the calculation of the metric value. The 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles for 2018 and 2019 are 66.6 and 65.5 ppb respectively. The 1-hour metric value at the station is the average of the three annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles or 74 ppb. Since the metric value exceeds the standard, the jurisdiction opts to evaluate if the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile in 2020 could also have been greater than the standard had the data been complete.

For this, the jurisdiction first determines the time of year where the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour are missing in 2020 and it finds that they were missing in January and February. The jurisdiction next looks at the historical SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour for these two months and finds that in the previous five years there were up to four days per year where the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour was greater than the standard. The jurisdiction next conducts analyses of the weather conditions in January and February 2020 and it concludes that they were mostly similar to those in the previous five years for the same two months. The jurisdiction also evaluates the emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> from sources known to influence the monitoring station. Since the emission information was not available on a monthly basis, the jurisdiction evaluates the annual emissions and it concludes that they were mostly similar to those in the previous five years.

Considering all this information, the jurisdiction concludes that the missing 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> in January and February 2020 likely included some concentrations which were greater than the standard and that, therefore, the metric value for 2018-2020 would also have exceeded the standard had the data been complete.

## **5.4 Decimal Places and Number Rounding Rules**

Calculated values, such as the 1-hour average concentrations<sup>12</sup> and the CAAQS metric values can be reported to various decimal places. Table 5-4 specifies the number of decimal places that 1-hour average concentrations and metric values are to be reported to, together with the rules for rounding the values to the specified decimal places.

---

<sup>12</sup> For most SO<sub>2</sub> monitors, the 1-hour average concentrations correspond to the average of concentrations measured over shorter time periods.

The SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour are to be reported to one decimal place. The SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric values are to be reported to the same number of decimal places as their corresponding standard, which is a whole number for the 1-hour standard and one decimal place for the annual standard. It should be noted that the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour and their 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles are not calculated values but are instead directly obtained from the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour. As such, the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour and their 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles are also reported to one decimal place. Text Box 3 below provides an example for rounding the 1-hour CAAQS metric values to whole numbers.

**Table 5-4: Decimal place and rounding rules**

Parameter	Decimal place of parameter	Rounding rule for the parameter
<b>SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour*</b>	One decimal place	<p>For the calculated average, first discard all numbers after the second decimal. This results in a number with two decimal places (a calculated average of 65.4599 ppb becomes 65.45 ppb). For the resulting number, if its second decimal is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <math>\geq 5</math>, round upward to one decimal place (65.45 ppb is rounded upward to 65.5 ppb)</li> <li>2. <math>\leq 4</math>, round downward to one decimal place (65.44 is rounded downward to 65.4 ppb).</li> </ol> <p>The rounded number is then the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour or annual metric value.</p>
<b>Annual metric value</b> (annual average of the SO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour)		
<b>1-hour metric value</b> (average of three annual 99 <sup>th</sup> percentiles)	No decimal place (whole number)	<p>For the calculated 3-year average, first discard all numbers after the first decimal. This results in a number with one decimal place (a calculated 3-year average of 65.4999 ppb becomes 65.4 ppb). For the resulting number, if its decimal is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <math>\geq 5</math>, round upward to a whole number (65.5 ppb is rounded upward to 66 ppb)</li> <li>2. <math>\leq 4</math>, round downward to a whole number (65.4 ppb is rounded downward to 65 ppb).</li> </ol> <p>The rounded number is then the 1-hour metric value.</p>

\* The SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour and their 99<sup>th</sup> percentile are also reported to one decimal place since they are directly obtained from the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour.

### **Text Box 3: Example for rounding the 1-hour standard metric value to a whole number**

The annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour at a monitoring station for the years 2018, 2019 and 2020 are 72.5, 60.5 and 55.9 ppb respectively. Their calculated 3-year average is 62.966... ppb\*.

According to Table 5-4, the 1-hour metric values are to be reported as a whole number (no decimal place) based on the specified rounding procedure.

The procedure specifies to first discard all numbers after the first decimal place in the calculated 3-year average. Therefore, the calculated 3-year average of 62.966...ppb becomes 62.9 ppb. For the resulting number, the rounding rule then specifies that if its decimal is:

1.  $\geq 5$ , round upward to a whole number
2.  $\leq 4$ , round downward to a whole number.

Accordingly, 62.9 ppb is rounded upward to 63 ppb, which becomes the 1-hour metric value.

\*The three dots signify that the number 6 repeats indefinitely.

## **6.0 TRANSBOUNDARY FLOWS AND EXCEPTIONAL EVENTS**

Transboundary flows (TF) and exceptional events (EE) are influences on concentrations from sources over which a jurisdiction has little to no direct control and they are discussed in the CCME Guidance Document on Transboundary Flows and Exceptional Events (CCME 2019b). Under AQMS, provinces and territories can consider influences from TF and EE on CAAQS exceedances and management levels and the procedures for doing so are provided in CCME 2019b. Consideration of TF-EE can also be applied to exceedances resulting from exceptions to the data completeness criteria discussed in section 5.3.

## **7.0 COMMUNICATION AND REPORTING**

Communicating with the Canadian public is an important component of AQMS. Each province and territory will regularly publish reports on air quality containing information for each of their air zones. With respect to CAAQS metric values, these reports should include the following information:

1. the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS metric values at each SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS-reporting station
2. the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS achievement status for each CAAQS-reporting station
3. the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS achievement status for the air zone.


Including the metric values at each station will show the spatial variation in concentrations and will also serve to show that an exceedance may be limited to only some areas instead of the entire air zone. If an air zone has no SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS-reporting station, the air zone report would clarify that the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS achievement status could not be determined. Exceedances of a standard under incomplete data may be identified as such in the air zone reports and be accompanied with a cautionary note. Information may also be included in regard to which concentration was based on the exceptions criteria outlined in Table 5-3.

The reporting requirements for air zone management levels are discussed in CCME 2019a.

## 8.0 SUMMARY OF GUIDANCE

The guidance provided in this document for the determination of the SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS achievement status is summarized schematically in Figure 8-1.

**Figure 8-1: Outline for determining the achievement status of a SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS**



## 9.0 REFERENCES

- CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment). 2019a. Guidance Document on Air Zone Management. CCME, 2019. [www.ccme.ca](http://www.ccme.ca).
- CCME 2019b. Guidance Document on Transboundary Flows and Exceptional Events for Air Zone Management. CCME, 2019. [www.ccme.ca](http://www.ccme.ca).
- CCME 2019c. Ambient Air Monitoring and Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines: National Air Pollution Surveillance Program. CCME 2019. [www.ccme.ca](http://www.ccme.ca).
- Turner, D.B.. 1961. Relationships Between 24-hour Mean Air Quality Measurements and Meteorological Factors in Nashville, Tennessee. *J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc.* 11(10): 483-489. [www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00022470.1961.10468029](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00022470.1961.10468029).
- U.S. EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2016. Reference and Equivalent Methods Used to Measure National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) Criteria Air Pollutants. Volume I, EPA/600/R-16/139, June 2016. [cfpub.epa.gov/si/si\\_public\\_file\\_download.cfm?p\\_download\\_id=528565&Lab=NERL](http://cfpub.epa.gov/si/si_public_file_download.cfm?p_download_id=528565&Lab=NERL).

## APPENDIX A – EXAMPLE CALCULATION OF THE 1-HOUR CANADIAN AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS METRIC VALUE

This Appendix provides an example of the procedures for calculating the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour CAAQS metric value at a monitoring station for the three-year period 2018 to 2020.

Based on equation 5.1, the 1-hour metric value is:

$$\text{1-hour CAAQS metric value}_{2018-2020} = (99P_{2018} + 99P_{2019} + 99P_{2020}) \div 3$$

The 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles for each of the years 2018 to 2020 are obtained by applying the three-step procedure described in section 5.1 and an example is provided below for the 99P<sub>2018</sub>.

**Step 1:** Select the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour for each day.

The SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour was selected for each day in 2018 as shown by the fourth column in Table A-1. For January 1, 2018 the data completeness criterion of at least eighteen SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour was not satisfied. However, since the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour for this day (125.7 ppb) exceeds the standard, this maximum was retained as per the exception specified in Table 5-3.

**Table A-1: Selecting the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour per day**

Day	Hour of the day	SO <sub>2</sub> 1-hour (ppb)	SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour (ppb)	# of available SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour
1-Jan-2018	1	2.1		
1-Jan-2018	2	3.9		
1-Jan-2018	3	4.1		
1-Jan-2018	4	10.1		
1-Jan-2018	5	4.4		
1-Jan-2018	6	4.1		
1-Jan-2018	7	12.5		
1-Jan-2018	8	55.5		
1-Jan-2018	9	125.7		
1-Jan-2018	10	100.9		
1-Jan-2018	11	40.4		
1-Jan-2018	12	10.4		
1-Jan-2018	13	8.3		
1-Jan-2018	14	4.5		
1-Jan-2018	15	55.6		
1-Jan-2018	16	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	17	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	18	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	19	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	20	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	21	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	22	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	23	Not available		
1-Jan-2018	24	4.5	125.7	16
...	...	...		
31-Dec-2018	1	3.2		
31-Dec-2018	2	2.3		
31-Dec-2018	3	4.5		
31-Dec-2018	4	6.6		
31-Dec-2018	5	10.1		
31-Dec-2018	6	8.9		
31-Dec-2018	7	5.6		
31-Dec-2018	8	12.5		
31-Dec-2018	9	22.5		
31-Dec-2018	10	55.1		
31-Dec-2018	11	20.1		
31-Dec-2018	12	23.1		
31-Dec-2018	13	9.1		
31-Dec-2018	14	2.3		
31-Dec-2018	15	5.5		
31-Dec-2018	16	6.6		
31-Dec-2018	17	4.4		
31-Dec-2018	18	1.1		
31-Dec-2018	19	2.1		
31-Dec-2018	20	1.5		
31-Dec-2018	21	Not available		
31-Dec-2018	22	Not available		
31-Dec-2018	23	3.5		
31-Dec-2018	24	1.2	55.1	22

**Step 2:** Select the four highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in the year and rank them in decreasing order of magnitude and repeating common values as often as they occur.

From all the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in the year, the four highest were selected and common values were repeated as often as they occurred (Table A-2).

**Table A-2: The four highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in 2018 ranked in decreasing order**

SO <sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour (ppb)	Rank	Date measured
125.7	Highest	1-Jan-2018
125.7	Second highest	15-Feb-2018
72.5	Third highest	3-Mar-2018
70.9	Fourth highest	5-Jan-2018

**Step 3:** Use Table 5-2 to determine the annual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile value depending on the number of SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour available in the year.

For this example, it is assumed that there are 300 SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour in 2018. With N<sub>DM</sub> = 300, the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile is the value of the third highest SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour (Table 5-2), or 72.5 ppb. Therefore, 99P<sub>2018</sub> = 72.5 ppb.

**Calculation of the SO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour CAAQS Metric Value**

The same three steps were repeated for each of 2019 and 2020 and the obtained 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles are 60.5 and 55.9 ppb respectively. Using equation 5.1 and the rounding rule in Table 5-4, the 1-hour metric value for the three-year period from 2018 to 2020 is calculated to be 63 ppb as shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{1-hour CAAQS metric value}_{2018-2020} &= (99P_{2018} + 99P_{2019} + 99P_{2020}) \div 3 \\
 &= (72.5 + 60.5 + 55.9) \div 3 \\
 &= 62.96667 \\
 &= \mathbf{63 \text{ ppb}}
 \end{aligned}$$



## APPENDIX B – THE PERCENTILE RANKING APPROACH

This Appendix explains the derivation of Table 5-2.

In the percentile ranking approach, the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the SO<sub>2</sub> Dmax 1-hour is the K<sup>th</sup> highest concentration (C) in the decreasing ordered array (e.g.,  $C_1 \geq C_2 \geq C_3 \dots \geq C_n$ ). The K<sup>th</sup> highest is obtained using the equation below for any number (N<sub>DM</sub>) of the daily-maximum 1-hour average concentrations:

$$\mathbf{K^{th} \text{ highest} = N_{DM} - \text{Truncated} (N_{DM} \times 0.99)}$$

“Truncated” means that the value of the product (N<sub>DM</sub>×0.99) is converted to a whole number by discarding (not rounding) the decimal part of the value. If the value of the product N<sub>DM</sub>×0.99 is a whole number, no truncation is needed.

For example, with N<sub>DM</sub> = 355:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{K}^{\text{th}} \text{ highest} &= N_{DM} - \text{Truncated} (N_{DM} \times 0.99) \\ &= 355 - \text{Truncated} (355 \times 0.99) \\ &= 355 - \text{Truncated} (351.45) \\ &= 355 - 351 \\ &= \text{Fourth highest} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the equation for N<sub>DM</sub> from 1 to 366 provides the results of Table 5-2.